

•
•
•
•
•
•
•

530 MAPLE LANE
BROWNSBURG, IN
46112-1407
(317) 852-2188

Joe and Julia Brock

February 18, 2005

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554
REGARDING PROCEEDING 02-278

Dear Sir or Madam:

I would like to go on record as **supporting the Indiana Code which established the “No Call” list in Indiana**. This code effectively prohibits telemarketers from contacting those who have placed their names on the listing, and serves to provide a more stringent “no call” process than is currently available in the Federal “No Call” law. Please continue and read my rationale and comments below.

I am actually in favor of tightening the Indiana Code to make it even more restrictive, although I do not anticipate this will happen.

The Code was developed in response to consumer request to eliminate the flood of calls by telemarketers in an effort to reduce the number of unsolicited calls into homes where the residents wish to not be bothered by a process that, in my own mind, borders on harassment. Since placing my phone numbers on this list, I have enjoyed the **freedom from multiple solicitations which may otherwise come at all hours of the day or night**.

I do not subscribe to my local phone company’s service and provide equipment within my home at my own cost for the convenience of others who would abuse it to try to sell me things I do not want. I pay the entire cost for my phone service each month, and have purchased the equipment required to connect to the service, and have done so for my own convenience—**not for the free use of others whose only objective is to increase their own profit by the free use of my equipment and service**.

I feel strongly that no one has the right to tell me that I must allow this free use of my own service and equipment by third-parties whose sole purpose is to solicit services or merchandise and who do so at my expense--when I have not requested to be contacted.

I urge you to see this action by the C. B. A. and its member banks for what it is—an attempt to cling to this outdated business model, and reinforce the state’s sovereign right to retain **this law as it is currently written**. Doing otherwise opens the door for all other kinds of compromise to this law by other groups who will simply band together and present their cases, using this Bank action as a precedent, effectively eroding a system that was put in place at consumer’s requests.

Sincerely,



Joseph and Julia Brock

.....
Love is more than a feeling, it is an action...